IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 71 of 2007

DATE OF DECISION: 09.01.2007.

Malkiat Singh Mann ...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND

PRESENT: Mr. R.P. Kansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

J.S. Khehar, J. (oral)

This is a third writ petition filed at the hands of a lawyer who desires to be appointed as a Notary Public. Reference has been made to the earlier two writ petitions filed for the relief in paragraph 9 of the writ petition, namely, CWP No.17582 of 2006 and CWP No.19226 of 2006. However, the pleadings of this case do not reveal the precise reason why the earlier two writ petitions were dismissed by this Court. In fact, it is not possible for us to ascertain from the record of this case why the earlier two writ petitions came to be dismissed, as the orders passed in the earlier two writ petitions have not been appended to this case.

In view of the above, we are satisfied, that the petitioner, who is lawyer himself, is not aware of the very fundamental principles of pleadings and the basics of law. He can hardly be a person who can be considered appropriate for appointment as a Notary Public. His earlier

two writ petitions have been dismissed. We find no justification in entertaining the third writ petition on the same cause of action.

Dismissed.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge

(S.D. Anand)
Judge

January 09, 2007.
vig